# Full-wavefield inversion of primaries and multiples in marine environment

# Reeshidev Bansal, Anatoly Baumstein, Partha S. Routh

Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company

#### US 9702998 B2

#### **ABSTRACT**

Method for using the full wavefield (primaries, internal multiples and free-surface multiples) in inversion of marine seismic data, including both pressure and vertical velocity data (21), to infer a subsurface model of acoustic velocity or other physical property. The marine seismic data are separated (22) into up-going (23) and down-going (24) wavefields, and both wavefields are inverted in a joint manner, in which the final model is impacted by both wavefields. This may be achieved by inverting both wavefields simultaneously (25), or one after the other, i.e. in a cascaded approach (35→37, or 45→47), for the subsurface properties (26, 38, 48).

Publication number
Publication type
Application number
Publication date
Publication date
Filing date
US 9702998 B2
Grant
US 14/311,045
Jul 11, 2017
Jun 20, 2014

Filing date Jun 20, 2014
Priority date Jul 8, 2013
Also published as US20150012221

Export Citation BiBTeX, EndNote, RefMan

Patent Citations (227), Non-Patent Citations (168), Classifications (3)

External Links: USPTO, USPTO Assignment, Espacenet

#### IMAGES (6)

Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing Patent Drawing

#### DESCRIPTION

#### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/843,622, filed Jul. 8, 2013, entitled FULL-WAVEFIELD INVERSION OF PRIMARIES AND MULTIPLES IN MARINE ENVIRONMENT, the entirety of which is incorporated by reference herein.

#### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates generally to the field of geophysical prospecting, and more particularly to geophysical data processing. Specifically, the invention is a method to invert seismic data containing primaries and multiples in a marine environment.

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Full wavefield inversion (FWI) is a computer-implemented geophysical method that is recently being used to invert for subsurface properties such as velocity or acoustic impedance. FWI is known to estimate the subsurface properties more accurately than, for example, inversion of the recorded wavefield after being processed to eliminate multiple reflections. The crux of any FWI algorithm can be described as follows: using a starting subsurface property model, synthetic seismic data are generated, i.e. modeled or simulated, by solving the wave equation using a numerical scheme (e.g., finite-difference, finite-element etc.). The synthetic seismic data are compared with the field seismic data and using the difference between the two, an error or objective function is calculated. Using the objective function, a modified subsurface model is generated which is used to simulate a new set of synthetic seismic data. This new set of synthetic seismic data is compared with the field data to generate a new objective function. This process is repeated until the objective function is satisfactorily minimized and the final subsurface model is generated. A global or local

#### CLAIMS (11)

The invention claimed is:

1. A computer-implemented method for inverting marine seismic data to infer a subsurface physical property model, said seismic data including pressure data and vertical velocity data, said method comprising:

separating the pressure data and the vertical velocity data into an up-going wavefield and a down-going wavefield;

inverting, with a full wavefield inversion, the up-going wavefield and the down-going wavefield together, either simultaneously or cascaded, to infer a subsurface physical property model; and

prospecting for hydrocarbons with the subsurface physical property model, wherein the separating and the inverting are performed using a computer.

- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein the inversion is cascaded, the up-going wavefield being inverted first to infer an intermediate physical property model, then, using the intermediate physical property model as an initial model, the down-going wavefield is inverted to infer a final physical property model.
- 3. The method of claim 1, wherein the inversion is cascaded, the down-going wavefield being inverted first to infer an intermediate physical property model, then, using the intermediate physical property model as an initial model, the up-going wavefield is inverted to infer a final physical property model.
- 4. The method of claim 1, wherein the physical property is velocity or acoustic impedance.
- 5. The method of claim 1, wherein the down-going wavefield is

the subsurface model. The accuracy of any FWI method is in general dictated by its two important components: the numerical algorithm used for solving wave equation to generate synthetic seismic data and the optimization scheme.

Depending on the type of optimization scheme employed, a FWI method may get stuck in a local minimum while updating the subsurface model.

There are several numerical methods such as finite-difference, finite-element etc. available for solving the wave equation. The finite-difference methods [1] which are the most popular numerical scheme for solving the wave equation suffer from the interface error generated by the misalignment between numerical grids and numerical interfaces [2]. Although all types of reflection (primaries, free-surface multiples, internal multiples etc.) suffer from the interface error, the free-surface multiples are affected the most due to multiple bounces between the free surface and reflectors in subsurface. Given that free-surface multiples are some of the strongest arrivals in a seismic record, including free-surface multiples in a FWI workflow may result in erroneous inverted subsurface properties.

Although in any seismic experiment, full wavefield (primaries, internal multiples and free-surface multiples) are acquired, due to inability of accurately modeling free-surface multiples, in most of the FWI methods only primaries and internal multiples are used to invert for subsurface models. Given that the free-surface multiples carry additional information about the subsurface model and complements to the information being carried by primaries and internal multiples, it is expected that including free-surface multiples in inversion will improve the accuracy of the inverted subsurface model. The present invention is a method that permits circumventing the direct modeling and subtraction of free-surface multiples.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment, the invention is a method for inverting marine seismic data
to infer a subsurface physical property model, said seismic data including
pressure data and vertical velocity data, said method comprising (a) separating
the pressure data and the vertical velocity data into an up-going wavefield and a
down-going wavefield; and (b) inverting the up-going wavefield and the
down-going wavefield together, either simultaneously or cascaded, to infer a
subsurface physical property model; wherein the separating and the inverting are performed using a computer.

In a second embodiment, the invention is a method for inverting marine seismic data to infer a subsurface physical property model, said seismic data including pressure data and vertical velocity data, said method comprising: (a) separating the pressure data and the vertical velocity data into an up-going wavefield and a down-going wavefield; and (b) iteratively inverting the up-going wavefield and the down-going wavefield together, meaning that each iteration cycle inverts the up-going wavefield or the down-going wavefield or simultaneously inverts both up-going and down-going wavefields, with each iteration cycle resulting in a model update which is used to generate simulated data in a next iteration cycle, resulting finally in a final physical property model; wherein the separating and the inverting are performed using a computer.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The advantages of the present invention are better understood by referring to the following detailed description and the attached drawings, in which:

- FIG. 1 illustrates decomposition of pressure and vertical particle velocity into up-going and down-going wavefields, where the up-going wavefield contains primaries and associated internal multiples and the down-going wavefield carries free-surface multiples and associated internal multiples;
- FIG. 2 is a flowchart showing basic steps in a simultaneous inversion embodiment of the present inventive method;
- FIG. 3 is a flowchart showing basic steps in one cascaded inversion embodiment of the present inventive method;
- FIG. 4 is a flowchart showing basic steps in another cascaded inversion embodiment of the present inventive method; and
- FIGS. 5A-5C are data displays showing test results for the present inventive method.

Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.

- 6. A method of claim 1, wherein the pressure and vertical velocity data are full wavefield data, not processed to eliminate or reduce multiple reflections.
- 7. The method of claim 1, displaying an image of the subsurface generated from the subsurface physical property model.
- 8. The method of claim 1, displaying an image of the subsurface generated from the final physical property model.
- 9. A computer-implemented method for inverting marine seismic data to infer a subsurface physical property model, said seismic data including pressure data and vertical velocity data, said method comprising:

separating the pressure data and the vertical velocity data into an up-going wavefield and a down-going wavefield; and

iteratively inverting, with a full wavefield inversion, the up-going wavefield and the down-going wavefield together, meaning that each iteration cycle inverts the up-going wavefield or the down-going wavefield or simultaneously inverts both up-going and down-going wavefields, with each iteration cycle resulting in a model update which is used to generate simulated data in a next iteration cycle, resulting finally in a final physical property model,

wherein the separating and the inverting are performed using a computer.

- 10. The method of claim 9, wherein each inversion comprises using a current physical property model to simulate data, comparing the simulated data to corresponding actual data, measuring a degree of misfit, and using the misfit to generate an update to the current physical property model; wherein the up-going wavefield and the down-going wavefield are separately simulated.
- 11. The method of claim 10, wherein the down-going wavefield is simulated using mirror geometry.

detailed description is specific to a particular embodiment or a particular use of the invention, this is intended to be illustrative only, and is not to be construed as limiting the scope of the invention. On the contrary, it is intended to cover all alternatives, modifications and equivalents that may be included within the scope of the invention, as defined by the appended claims.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXAMPLE EMBODIMENTS

The invention is a method for using the full wavefield (primaries, internal multiples and free-surface multiples) in inversion. The marine seismic data are separated into up-going and down-going wavefields, which is possible for marine surveys because of the pressure data that can be collected underwater. Then, both wavefields are inverted in some joint manner, in which the final model is impacted by both wavefields. This may be achieved by inverting both wavefields simultaneously, or one after the other (in a cascaded approach) for the subsurface properties.

Wong et al. (2010) [3] disclosed a method for performing joint least-squares migration of up- and down-going wavefields. In contrast, the present invention inverts for the subsurface properties (by implementing a method of full waveform non-linear inversion). Inversion is quite different from migration. The objective in migration, i.e. imaging, is to move the reflectors to their correct subsurface positions, assuming a velocity model of the subsurface. The velocity model is not updated in migration. Inversion is a process, usually iterative, where the objective is to improve and update the initial assumed velocity model.

The present invention is designed for marine seismic data and requires acquisition of at least two-components of wavefield namely pressure and particle velocity. Any marine seismic acquisition can be categorized into one of the following three categories: towed streamer acquisition, ocean bottom cable (OBC) acquisition and ocean bottom node (OBN) acquisition. Towed streamer acquisitions have traditionally been used to record only the pressure component of the wavefield. In the past few years, however, it has become increasingly common to record both pressure and velocity components of the wavefield using a towed streamer acquisition system. OBC and OBN acquisitions almost always record pressure and velocity components of the wavefield.

Both pressure (P) and vertical velocity components (Z) have primaries, internal multiples and free-surface multiples. In principle, pressure and velocity components can be used together in a FWI method to estimate subsurface properties. However, as mentioned previously, accurate numerical modeling of free-surface multiples is challenging. Hence, any FWI method that requires accurate modeling of seismic data is prone to error if the free-surface multiples are used in inversion. To circumvent this problem, instead of using field-recorded pressure and velocity components, the present inventive method uses up-going and down-going wavefields in inversion.

There are several published methods available for deriving up-going and down-going wavefields from pressure and vertical velocity components. Some of those are described in [4, 5]. The up-going wavefield contains primaries and associated internal multiples while the down-going wavefield contains free-surface multiples and the associated internal multiples. (The terms up-going and down-going refer to the direction of the wavefield as it arrives at the receiver.) In terms of seismic inversion, both wavefields have their own advantages and disadvantages. Reference may be had to the self-explanatory schematic diagrams of FIG. 1. The up-going wavefields have larger reflection angle and usually have better signal-to-noise ratio. Down-going wavefields provide larger aperture but have less fold than the up-going wavefield. Since the up-going wavefield does not contain free-surface multiples, free-surface multiples do not need to be modeled in order to perform FWI. The down-going wavefield, which contains the free-surface multiples, can be modeled by using mirror geometry [6, 7] without needing a free-surface condition on the top of the model. In mirror geometry, the top of the model is padded (see FIG. 1) with a water layer as thick as the water depth and the receiver location is moved upward (directly above the original location) with a distance 2 g, where g is the depth of the original receiver location.

Up-going and down-going wavefields are used to perform FWI to invert for subsurface parameters. FWI may be performed using, for example, any of the three following approaches which are all embodiments of the present inventive method. The first four steps (21-24) are the same in each approach.

Simultaneous inversion: FIG. 2 describes basic steps in the workflow. Pressure and vertical velocity components of marine seismic data, including towed streamer, OBC, OBN/OBS (21), are decomposed (22) into up-going (23) and down-going (24) wavefields. These two wavefields are simultaneously inverted (25) for subsurface properties (26). A simultaneous inversion can be characterized by the optimizing of a single, combined objective function measuring misfit between simulated and actual data of both wavefields, resulting in a single model update, which updated model is then used in the next iteration cycle to simulate the up-going and down-going wavefields, etc.

Cascaded Inversion I: FIG. 3 describes the workflow. Pressure and vertical velocity components of marine seismic data, including towed streamer, OBC, and OBN/OBS (21), are decomposed (22) into up-going (23) and down-going (24) wavefields. Next, FWI is performed on up-going wavefield (35) to invert for subsurface properties, which may be called intermediate subsurface properties (36). Thereafter, FWI is applied on down-going wavefield (37) to invert for final

Cascaded Inversion II: FIG. 4 describes the workflow. Pressure and vertical velocity components of marine seismic data, including towed streamer, OBC, and OBN/OBS (21), are decomposed (22) into up-going (23) and down-going (24) wavefields. Next, FWI is performed on down-going wavefield (45) to invert for subsurface properties, which may be called the intermediate subsurface properties (46). Thereafter, FWI is applied on up-going wavefield (47) to invert for final subsurface properties (48) and the intermediate subsurface properties is used as the starting model for FWI.

FIGS. 5A-5C show test results for the present inventive method applied to actual data. FIG. 5A shows the initial velocity model used to start the iterative inversion process. For complex scenarios such as the high velocity geo-body of this example, a reasonably close starting model is important to successful inversion. FIG. 5B shows the inverted velocity model using the simultaneous inversion method of FIG. 2. FIG. 5C shows the inverted velocity model using the cascaded inversion I method of FIG. 3. Both inverted models show many details not present in the starting model.

An alternative to the cascaded inversion embodiments described above might be to invert the up-going wavefield and the down-going wavefield separately, and then reduce the two resulting models to a single, best model by some sort of averaging process or least-squares fitting.

The foregoing description is directed to particular embodiments of the present invention for the purpose of illustrating it. It will be apparent, however, to one skilled in the art, that many modifications and variations to the embodiments described herein are possible. For example, an iterative inversion cycle where in the first cycle the up-going wavefield is inverted resulting in a model update, then use the updated model to invert the down-going wavefield, update the model again, then use that updated model to invert the up-going wavefield, and so on alternating the two wavefields from one cycle of the iterative process to the next. All such modifications and variations are intended to be within the scope of the present invention, as defined by the appended claims.

- 1. Virieux, J., "P-SV wave propagation in heterogenous media," Geophysics 51, 889-901 (1986).
- 2. Symes, W. W. and Vdovina, T., "Interface error analysis for numerical wave propagation," Computational Geosciences 13, 363-371 (2009).
- 3. Wong, M., Biondi, B., Ronen, S., "Joint least-squares inversion of up- and down-going signal for ocean bottom data sets," SEG Expanded Abstracts 29, 2752 (2010).
- 4. Barr, F. J. and Sanders, J. I., "Attenuation of water-column reverberations using pressure and velocity detectors in a water-bottom cable," 59<sup>th</sup> annual SEG meeting, Expanded Abstracts, 653 (1989).
- 5. Amundsen, L., "Elimination of free-surface related multiples with need of a source wavelet," Geophysics 66, 327-341 (2001).
- 6. Godfrey, R. P. K., Armstrong, B., Cooper, M. and Thorogood, E., "Imaging the Foinaven ghost," SEG Expanded Abstracts, 1333-1335 (1998).
- 7. Ronen, S., Comeaux, L. and Miao J., "Imaging downgoing waves from ocean bottom stations," SEG Expanded Abstracts, 963-966 (2005).

#### PATENT-CITATIONS

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
US3812457	Nov 17, 1969	May 21, 1974	Shell Oil Co	Seismic exploration method
US3864667	Sep 11, 1970	Feb 4, 1975	Continental Oil Co	Apparatus for surface wave parameter determination
US4159463	Oct 21, 1977	Jun 26, 1979	Daniel Silverman	Method of three dimensional seismic prospecting
US4168485	Jun 30, 1976	Sep 18, 1979	Continental Oil Company	Simultaneous use of pseudo-random control signals in vibrational exploration methods
US4545039	Sep 9, 1982	Oct 1, 1985	Western Geophysical Co. Of America	Methods for seismic exploration
US4562540	Nov 12, 1982	Dec 31, 1985	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Diffraction tomography system and methods
US4575830	Oct 15, 1982	Mar 11, 1986	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Indirect shearwave determination
US4594662	Sep 19, 1983	Jun 10, 1986	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Diffraction tomography systems and methods with fixed detector arrays
US4636956	Mar 20, 1984	Jan 13, 1987	Societe Nationale Elf Aquitaine (Production)	Method and device for optimization of seismic data
US4675851	Sep 9, 1982	Jun 23, 1987	Western Geophysical Co.	Method for seismic exploration
US4686654	Jul 31, 1986	Aug 11, 1987	Western Geophysical Company Of America	Method for generating orthogonal sweep signals
US4707812	Dec 9, 1985	Nov 17, 1987	Atlantic Richfield Company	Method of suppressing vibration seismic signal

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
US4715020	Oct 29, 1986	Dec 22, 1987	Western Atlas International, Inc.	Simultaneous performance of multiple seismic vibratory surveys
US4766574	Mar 31, 1987	Aug 23, 1988	Amoco Corporation	Method for depth imaging multicomponent seismic data
US4780856	Oct 30, 1986	Oct 25, 1988	Institut Francais Du Petrole	Off-shore seismic prospection method using a coded vibratory signal and a device for implementing this method
US4823326	Jun 23, 1987	Apr 18, 1989	The Standard Oil Company	Seismic data acquisition technique having superposed signals
US4924390	Feb 22, 1988	May 8, 1990	Conoco, Inc.	Method for determination of earth stratum elastic parameters using seismic energy
US4953657	Feb 14, 1989	Sep 4, 1990	Halliburton Geophysical Services, Inc.	Time delay source coding
US4969129	Sep 20, 1989	Nov 6, 1990	Texaco Inc.	Coding seismic sources
US4982374	Oct 23, 1989	Jan 1, 1991	Halliburton Geophysical Services, Inc.	Method of source coding and harmonic cancellation for vibrational geophysical survey sources
US5260911	May 28, 1991	Nov 9, 1993	Mason lain M	Seismic surveying
US5469062	Mar 11, 1994	Nov 21, 1995	Baker Hughes, Inc.	Multiple depths and frequencies for simultaneous inversion of electromagnetic borehole measurements
US5583825	Sep 2, 1994	Dec 10, 1996	Exxon Production Research Company	Method for deriving reservoir lithology and fluid content from pre-stack inversion of seismic data
US5677893	Jul 7, 1995	Oct 14, 1997	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Method of processing seismic data
US5715213	Nov 13, 1995	Feb 3, 1998	Mobil Oil Corporation	High fidelity vibratory source seismic method using a plurality of vibrator sources
US5717655	Mar 28, 1997	Feb 10, 1998	Western Atlas International, Inc.	Method for providing uniform subsurface coverage in the presence of steep dips
US5719821	Sep 29, 1995	Feb 17, 1998	Atlantic Richfield Company	Method and apparatus for source separation of seismic vibratory signals
US5721710	Sep 20, 1996	Feb 24, 1998	Atlantic Richfield Company	High fidelity vibratory source seismic method with source separation
US5790473	Nov 13, 1995	Aug 4, 1998	Mobil Oil Corporation	High fidelity vibratory source seismic method for use in vertical seismic profile data gathering with a plurality of vibratory seismic energy sources
US5798982	Apr 29, 1996	Aug 25, 1998	The Trustees Of Columbia University In The City Of New York	Method for inverting reflection trace data from 3-D and 4-D seismic surveys and identifying subsurface fluid and pathways in and among hydrocarbon reservoirs based on impedance models
US5822269	Nov 13, 1995	Oct 13, 1998	Mobil Oil Corporation	Method for separation of a plurality of vibratory seismic energy source signals
US5838634	Dec 9, 1996	Nov 17, 1998	Exxon Production Research Company	Method of generating 3-D geologic models incorporating geologic and geophysical constraints
US5852588	May 12, 1997	Dec 22, 1998	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Method of processing seismic data
US5878372	Mar 4, 1997	Mar 2, 1999	Western Atlas International, Inc.	Method for simultaneous inversion processing of well log data using a plurality of earth models
US5920838	Jun 2, 1997	Jul 6, 1999	Carnegie Mellon University	Reading and pronunciation tutor
US5924049	Jan 30, 1998	Jul 13, 1999	Western Atlas International, Inc.	Methods for acquiring and processing seismic data
US5999488	Apr 27, 1998	Dec 7, 1999	Phillips Petroleum Company	Method and apparatus for migration by finite differences
US5999489	Mar 21, 1997	Dec 7, 1999	Tomoseis Inc.	High vertical resolution crosswell seismic imaging
US6005916	Nov 17, 1997	Dec 21, 1999	Techniscan, Inc.	Apparatus and method for imaging with wavefields using inverse scattering techniques
US6014342	Jul 16, 1997	Jan 11, 2000	Tomo Seis, Inc.	Method of evaluating a subsurface region using gather sensitive data discrimination
US6021094	Mar 5, 1999	Feb 1, 2000	Sandia Corporation	Method of migrating seismic records
US6028818	May 28, 1997	Feb 22, 2000	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Method and apparatus for multiple seismic vibratory surveys
US6058073	Mar 30, 1999	May 2, 2000	Atlantic Richfield Company	Elastic impedance estimation for inversion of far offset seismic sections
US6125330	Aug 19, 1998	Sep 26, 2000	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Method of determining the response caused by model alterations in seismic simulations

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
US6219621	Jun 25, 1999	Apr 17, 2001	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Co.	Sparse hyperbolic inversion of seismic data
US6225803	Oct 29, 1998	May 1, 2001	Baker Hughes Incorporated	NMR log processing using wavelet filter and iterative inversion
US6311133	Sep 30, 1999	Oct 30, 2001	Institut Francais Du Petrole	3D prestack seismic data migration method
US6317695	Mar 30, 2000	Nov 13, 2001	Nutec Sciences, Inc.	Seismic data processing method
US6327537	Jul 19, 1999	Dec 4, 2001	Luc T. Ikelle	Multi-shooting approach to seismic modeling and acquisition
US6374201	Jul 2, 1998	Apr 16, 2002	Institut Français Du Petrole	Method for 3D modelling of the impedance of a heterogeneous medium
US6381543	Aug 8, 2000	Apr 30, 2002	Institut Francais Du Petrole	Method for forming a model of a geologic formation, constrained by dynamic and static data
US6388947	Sep 14, 1998	May 14, 2002	Tomoseis, Inc.	Multi-crosswell profile 3D imaging and method
US6480790	Oct 11, 2000	Nov 12, 2002	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Process for constructing three-dimensional geologic models having adjustable geologic interfaces
US6522973	Oct 30, 2000	Feb 18, 2003	Institut Français Du Petrole	Method for 2D or 3D modelling of a heterogeneous medium such as the subsoil described by one or more physical parameters
US6545944	May 30, 2001	Apr 8, 2003	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Method for acquiring and processing of data from two or more simultaneously fired sources
US6549854	Feb 11, 2000	Apr 15, 2003	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Uncertainty constrained subsurface modeling
US6574564	Apr 9, 2001	Jun 3, 2003	Institut Français Du Petrole	3D prestack seismic data migration method
US6593746	Jan 15, 2002	Jul 15, 2003	Larry G. Stolarczyk	Method and system for radio-imaging underground geologic structures
US6662147	Apr 12, 2000	Dec 9, 2003	Fournier Frederique	Method allowing to obtain an optimum model of a physical characteristic in a heterogeneous medium such as the subsoil
US6665615	Mar 26, 2001	Dec 16, 2003	Jason Geosystems B.V.	Method of estimating elastic and compositional parameters from seismic and echo-acoustic data
US6687619	Oct 17, 2001	Feb 3, 2004	Westerngeco, L.L.C.	Method of using cascaded sweeps for source coding and harmonic cancellation
US6687659	Mar 24, 2000	Feb 3, 2004	Conocophillips Company	Method and apparatus for absorbing boundary conditions in numerical finite-difference acoustic applications
US6704245	Dec 21, 2001	Mar 9, 2004	Institut Français Du Petrole	Seismic prospecting method and device using simultaneous emission of seismic signals obtained by coding a signal by pseudo-random sequences
US6714867	Feb 9, 2001	Mar 30, 2004	Institut Français Du Petrole	Method for seismic monitoring of an underground zone by simultaneous use of sererval vibroseismic sources
US6735527	Feb 26, 2003	May 11, 2004	Landmark Graphics Corporation	3-D prestack/poststack multiple prediction
US6754590	May 16, 2000	Jun 22, 2004	Westerngeco, L.L.C.	Seismic surveying method
US6766256	Feb 13, 2001	Jul 20, 2004	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Processing simultaneous vibratory seismic data
US6826486	Apr 3, 2003	Nov 30, 2004	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Methods and apparatus for predicting pore and fracture pressures of a subsurface formation
US6836448	Jan 19, 2001	Dec 28, 2004	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	System and method for seismic wavefield separation
US6842701	Feb 25, 2002	Jan 11, 2005	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Method of noise removal for cascaded sweep data
US6859734	Oct 6, 2003	Feb 22, 2005	Paradigm Geophysical Corporation	Method and system for limited frequency seismic imaging
US6865487	May 6, 2003	Mar 8, 2005	Total Fina Elf S.A.	Method for decimating seismic traces driven by the seismic path
US6865488	Jan 16, 2004	Mar 8, 2005	Westerngeco, L.L.C.	Method of using cascaded sweeps for source coding and harmonic cancellation
US6876928	Sep 26, 2003	Apr 5, 2005	Jason Geosystems B.V.	Method of estimating elastic and compositional parameters from seismic and echo-acoustic data
US6882938	Jul 30, 2003	Apr 19, 2005	Pgs Americas, Inc.	Method for separating seismic signals from two or more distinct sources
US6882958	Jun 28, 2001	Apr 19, 2005	National Instruments Corporation	System and method for curve fitting using randomized techniques

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
US6903999	Jan 19, 2001	Jun 7, 2005	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	System and method for estimating seismic material properties
US6906981	Jul 17, 2002	Jun 14, 2005	Pgs Americas, Inc.	Method and system for acquiring marine seismic data using multiple seismic sources
US6927698	Jun 4, 2002	Aug 9, 2005	Larry G. Stolarczyk	Shuttle-in receiver for radio-imaging underground geologic structures
US6944546	Oct 1, 2003	Sep 13, 2005	Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.	Method and apparatus for inversion processing of well logging data in a selected pattern space
US6947843	Jul 25, 2002	Sep 20, 2005	Vetco Grey Controls Limited	Microseismic signal processing
US6970397	Jul 9, 2003	Nov 29, 2005	Gas Technology Institute	Determination of fluid properties of earth formations using stochastic inversion
US6977866	Jan 9, 2004	Dec 20, 2005	Conocophillps Company	Method and process for prediction of subsurface fluid and rock pressures in the earth
US6999880	Mar 11, 2004	Feb 14, 2006	The Regents Of The University Of California	Source-independent full waveform inversion of seismic data
US7046581	Nov 24, 2004	May 16, 2006	Shell Oil Company	Well-to-well tomography
US7050356	Mar 31, 2003	May 23, 2006	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Method of seismic surveying
US7069149	Dec 14, 2001	Jun 27, 2006	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	Process for interpreting faults from a fault-enhanced 3-dimensional seismic attribute volume
US7072767	Apr 1, 2003	Jul 4, 2006	Conocophillips Company	Simultaneous inversion for source wavelet and AVO parameters from prestack seismic data
US7092823	Jul 31, 2003	Aug 15, 2006	Institut Français Du Petrole	Method of forming a model representative of the distribution of a physical quantity in an underground zone, free of the effect of correlated noises contained in exploration data
US7110900	Apr 7, 2004	Sep 19, 2006	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Determination of waveguide parameters
US7184367	Feb 13, 2004	Feb 27, 2007	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Method to convert seismic traces into petrophysical property logs
US7230879	Feb 12, 2005	Jun 12, 2007	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	Method and apparatus for true relative amplitude correction of seismic data for normal moveout stretch effects
US7271747	May 10, 2006	Sep 18, 2007	Rice University	Method and apparatus for distributed compressed sensing
US7330799	Oct 28, 2004	Feb 12, 2008	Société de commercialisation des produits de la recherche appliquée-Socpra Sciences et Génie s.e.c.	Method and algorithm for using surface waves
US7337069	Jun 24, 2005	Feb 26, 2008	Institut Francais Du Petrole	Method of simulating the sedimentary deposition in a basin respecting the thicknesses of the sedimentary sequences
US7373251	Dec 22, 2004	May 13, 2008	Marathon Oil Company	Method for predicting quantitative values of a rock or fluid property in a reservoir using seismic data
US7373252	Nov 2, 2006	May 13, 2008	Western Geco L.L.C.	3D pre-stack full waveform inversion
US7376046	Oct 11, 2006	May 20, 2008	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Method of seismic surveying
US7376539	Apr 16, 2003	May 20, 2008	Norsar	Method for simulating local prestack depth migrated seismic images
US7400978	Dec 18, 2006	Jul 15, 2008	Institute Français Du Petrole	Method for updating a geologic model by seismic data
US7436734	Feb 13, 2004	Oct 14, 2008	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Co.	Shaped high frequency vibratory source
US7480206	Sep 13, 2004	Jan 20, 2009	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	Methods for earth modeling and seismic imaging using interactive and selective updating
US7584056	Feb 22, 2006	Sep 1, 2009	Paradigm Geophysical Ltd.	Multiple suppression in angle domain time and depth migration
US7599798	Sep 11, 2007	Oct 6, 2009	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Migrating composite seismic response data to produce a representation of a seismic volume
US7602670	Nov 26, 2007	Oct 13, 2009	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Method of seismic surveying
US7616523	Nov 13, 2008	Nov 10, 2009	Pgs Geophysical As	Method for combining pressure and motion seismic signals from streamers where sensors are not at a common depth
US7620534	Apr 28, 2006	Nov 17, 2009	Saudi Aramco	Sound enabling computerized system for real time reservoir model calibration using field surveillance data
US7620536	Jul 15, 2005	Nov 17, 2009	Fujitsu Limited	Simulation techniques

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
US7672194	Dec 12, 2005	Mar 2, 2010	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Method of seismic surveying
US7672824	Dec 10, 2001	Mar 2, 2010	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Method for shallow water flow detection
US7675815	Jan 22, 2008	Mar 9, 2010	Spectraseis Ag	Time reverse reservoir localization
US7679990	Dec 6, 2004	Mar 16, 2010	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	Methods for acquiring and processing seismic data from quasi-simultaneously activated translating energy sources
US7684281	Apr 14, 2008	Mar 23, 2010	Pgs Americas, Inc.	System for combining signals of pressure sensors and particle motion sensors in marine seismic streamers
US7710821	Aug 20, 2004	May 4, 2010	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Multiple attenuation method
US7715985	Mar 23, 2007	May 11, 2010	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Method of evaluating the interaction between a wavefield and a solid body
US7715986	May 22, 2007	May 11, 2010	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	Method for identifying and removing multiples for imaging with beams
US7725266	May 31, 2007	May 25, 2010	Bp Corporation North America Inc.	System and method for 3D frequency domain waveform inversion based on 3D time-domain forward modeling
US7791980	Jul 7, 2006	Sep 7, 2010	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Interpolation and extrapolation method for seismic recordings
US7835072	Mar 17, 2008	Nov 16, 2010	Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd.	Far-infrared camera lens, lens unit, and imaging apparatus
US7840625	Apr 7, 2006	Nov 23, 2010	California Institute Of Technology	Methods for performing fast discrete curvelet transforms of data
US7940601	Feb 26, 2007	May 10, 2011	Council Of Scientific & Industrial Research	Method for computing an exact impulse response of a plane acoustic reflector at zero offset due to a point acoustic source
US8121823	Sep 11, 2007	Feb 21, 2012	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Iterative inversion of data from simultaneous geophysical sources
US8248886	Mar 19, 2008	Aug 21, 2012	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Separation and noise removal for multiple vibratory source seismic data
US8428925	Jan 6, 2012	Apr 23, 2013	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Iterative inversion of data from simultaneous geophysical sources
US8437998	Oct 13, 2010	May 7, 2013	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Hybrid method for full waveform inversion using simultaneous and sequential source method
US8688381	Sep 1, 2011	Apr 1, 2014	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Simultaneous source inversion for marine streamer data with cross-correlation objective function
US20020099504	May 15, 2001	Jul 25, 2002	Cross Timothy A.	Method of predicting three-dimensional stratigraphy using inverse optimization techniques
US20020120429	Dec 7, 2001	Aug 29, 2002	Peter Ortoleva	Methods for modeling multi-dimensional domains using information theory to resolve gaps in data and in theories
US20020183980	Mar 4, 2002	Dec 5, 2002	Patrice Guillaume	Methods of tomographically inverting picked events on migrated seismic data
US20040199330	Apr 1, 2003	Oct 7, 2004	Conocophillips Company	Simultaneous inversion for source wavelet and AVO parameters from prestack seismic data
US20040225483	Feb 24, 2004	Nov 11, 2004	Michal Okoniewski	Fdtd hardware acceleration system
US20060235666	Dec 11, 2003	Oct 19, 2006	Assa Steven B	System and method for representing and processing and modeling subterranean surfaces
US20070036030	Aug 11, 2005	Feb 15, 2007	Baumel Robert T	Method of accounting for wavelet stretch in seismic data
US20070038691	Apr 7, 2006	Feb 15, 2007	Emmanuel Candes	Methods for performing fast discrete curvelet transforms of data
US20070274155	May 14, 2007	Nov 29, 2007	Ikelle Luc T	Coding and Decoding: Seismic Data Modeling, Acquisition and Processing
US20080175101	Jan 22, 2008	Jul 24, 2008	Spectraseis Ag	Time Reverse Reservoir Localization
US20080306692	Dec 21, 2005	Dec 11, 2008	Sondex Wireline Limited	Method and Apparatus for Determining the Permeability of Earth Formations
US20090006054	Jun 29, 2007	Jan 1, 2009	Zhongmin Song	Seismic Inversion of Data Containing Surface-Related Multiples
US20090067041	Mar 17, 2008	Mar 12, 2009	Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd.	Far-infrared camera lens, lens unit, and imaging apparatus

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
				moduli in an isotropically stressed reference state
US20090083006	Aug 23, 2008	Mar 26, 2009	Randall Mackie	Methods and apparatus for three-dimensional inversion of electromagnetic data
US20090164186	Dec 20, 2007	Jun 25, 2009	Bhp Billiton Innovation Pty Ltd.	Method for determining improved estimates of properties of a model
US20090164756	Oct 18, 2006	Jun 25, 2009	Tor Dokken	Geological Response Data Imaging With Stream Processors
US20090187391	Jan 21, 2009	Jul 23, 2009	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Three-dimensional mechanical earth modeling
US20090248308	Mar 16, 2009	Oct 1, 2009	Martin Luling	Simultaneous inversion of induction data for dielectric permittivity and electric conductivity
US20090254320	Mar 27, 2009	Oct 8, 2009	Andrea Lovatini	Joint inversion of time domain controlled source electromagnetic (td-csem) data and further data
US20090259406	Apr 8, 2009	Oct 15, 2009	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Continuous microseismic mapping for real-time 3d event detection and location
US20100008184	Jun 2, 2009	Jan 14, 2010	Stian Hegna	Method for aquiring and processing marine seismic data to extract and constructively use the up-going and down-going wave-fields emitted by the source(s)
US20100018718	Sep 11, 2007	Jan 28, 2010	Krebs Jerome R	Iterative inversion of data from simultaneous geophysical sources
US20100039894	Aug 17, 2009	Feb 18, 2010	Abma Raymond L	Method for separating independent simultaneous sources
US20100054082	Aug 29, 2008	Mar 4, 2010	Acceleware Corp.	Reverse-time depth migration with reduced memory requirements
US20100088035	Oct 6, 2009	Apr 8, 2010	Etgen John T	Pseudo-analytical method for the solution of wave equations
US20100103772	Oct 22, 2009	Apr 29, 2010	Conocophillips Company	Marine Seismic Acquisition
US20100118651	Sep 24, 2009	May 13, 2010	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	Method for generation of images related to a subsurface region of interest
US20100142316	Dec 7, 2008	Jun 10, 2010	Henk Keers	Using waveform inversion to determine properties of a subsurface medium
US20100161233	Mar 7, 2010	Jun 24, 2010	Spectraseis Ag	Time Reverse Reservoir Localization
US20100161234	Mar 9, 2010	Jun 24, 2010	Spectraseis Ag	Time Reverse Reservoir Localization
US20100161235 *	Dec 17, 2007	Jun 24, 2010	Ikelle Luc T	Imaging of multishot seismic data
US20100185422	Jan 20, 2009	Jul 22, 2010	Chevron U,S,A., Inc.	Stochastic inversion of geophysical data for estimating earth model parameters
US20100208554	Feb 11, 2010	Aug 19, 2010	Conocophillips Company	Multiple seismic signal inversion
US20100212909	Jan 8, 2010	Aug 26, 2010	Anatoly Baumstein	Method For Analyzing Multiple Geophysical Data Sets
US20100265797	Apr 17, 2009	Oct 21, 2010	Robertsson Johan O A	Interferometric seismic data processing
US20100270026	Dec 5, 2008	Oct 28, 2010	Spyridon Lazaratos	Spectral Shaping Inversion And Migration of Seismic Data
US20100286919	Jan 26, 2009	Nov 11, 2010	Sunwoong Lee	Characterizing Spatial Variability of Surface Waves In Seismic Processing
US20100299070	Aug 6, 2010	Nov 25, 2010	Bp Corporation North America Inc.	Method for separating independent simultaneous sources
US20110000678	Jan 26, 2009	Jan 6, 2011	Krebs Jerome R	Efficient Method For Inversion of Geophysical Data
US20110040926	Sep 5, 2009	Feb 17, 2011	Texas Memory Systems, Inc.	FLASH-based Memory System With Variable Length Page Stripes Including Data Protection Information
US20110051553	Mar 24, 2010	Mar 3, 2011	Ian Richard Scott	Determining the quality of a seismic inversion
US20110090760	Oct 19, 2009	Apr 21, 2011	James Rickett	Full-waveform inversion in the traveltime domain
US20110131020	Sep 9, 2010	Jun 2, 2011	Conocophillips Company	Dip guided full waveform inversion
US20110134722	Dec 6, 2010	Jun 9, 2011	Mediwound Ltd.	Simultaneous Joint Inversion of Surface Wave and Refraction Data
US20110182141	Aug 14, 2008	Jul 28, 2011	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Method and system for monitoring a logging tool position in a borehole
US20110182144	Jan 24, 2011	Jul 28, 2011	Gray Frederick D	Methods and systems for estimating stress using seismic data
US20110191032	Jan 29, 2010	Aug 4, 2011	Ian Moore	Interpolation of periodic data
US20110194379	Jan 4, 2011	Aug 11, 2011	Sunwoong Lee	Methods For Subsurface Parameter Estimation In Full Wavefield Inversion And Reverse-Time Migration

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
US20110227577	Mar 19, 2010	Sep 22, 2011	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Single well reservoir imaging apparatus and methods
US20110235464	Mar 24, 2010	Sep 29, 2011	John Brittan	Method of imaging the earth's subsurface during marine seismic data acquisition
US20110238390	Feb 3, 2011	Sep 29, 2011	Krebs Jerome R	Full Wavefield Inversion Using Time Varying Filters
US20110246140	Mar 31, 2010	Oct 6, 2011	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Data set inversion using source-receiver compression
US20110267921	Apr 30, 2010	Nov 3, 2011	Schlumberger Technology Corporation	Multicomponent seismic inversion of vsp data
US20110267923	Sep 24, 2010	Nov 3, 2011	Snu R&Db Foundation	Apparatus and method for seismic imaging using waveform inversion solved by conjugate gradient least squares method
US20110276320	Mar 10, 2011	Nov 10, 2011	Krebs Jerome R	Artifact Reduction In Iterative Inversion Of Geophysical Data
US20110288831	Feb 24, 2011	Nov 24, 2011	Lijian Tan	Method and system for checkpointing during simulations
US20110299361	Feb 17, 2010	Dec 8, 2011	Changsoo Shin	Apparatus and method for imaging subsurface structure
US20110320180	May 13, 2011	Dec 29, 2011	Al-Saleh Saleh M	Migration Velocity Analysis of Seismic Data Using Common Image Cube and Green's Functions
US20120010862	Jul 11, 2011	Jan 12, 2012	Fumie Costen	Wave modelling
US20120014215	Sep 25, 2011	Jan 19, 2012	Spectraseis Ag	Time reverse reservoir localization with borehole data
US20120014216	Sep 25, 2011	Jan 19, 2012	Spectraseis Ag	Time reverse reservoir localization
US20120051176	Aug 31, 2010	Mar 1, 2012	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	Reverse time migration back-scattering noise removal using decomposed wavefield directivity
US20120073824	Oct 13, 2010	Mar 29, 2012	Routh Partha S	Hybride Method For Full Waveform Inversion Using Simultaneous and Sequential Source Method
US20120073825	Sep 9, 2011	Mar 29, 2012	Routh Partha S	Simultaneous Source Encoding and Source Separation As A Practical Solution For Full Wavefield Inversion
US20120082344	Dec 12, 2011	Apr 5, 2012	David Leigh Donoho	Method and apparatus for compressed sensing
US20120143506	Sep 1, 2011	Jun 7, 2012	Routh Partha S	Simultaneous Source Inversion for Marine Streamer Data With Cross-Correlation Objective Function
US20120215506	Feb 10, 2012	Aug 23, 2012	Rickett James E	Waveform inversion by multiple shot-encoding for non-fixed spread geometries
US20120275264	Jul 2, 2012	Nov 1, 2012	Westerngeco L.L.C.	Removing free-surface effects from seismic data acquired in a towed survey
US20120275267	Mar 26, 2012	Nov 1, 2012	Ramesh Neelamani	Seismic Data Processing
US20120290214	May 10, 2012	Nov 15, 2012	Saudi Arabian Oil Company	Coupled time-distance dependent swept frequency source acquisition design and data de-noising
US20120314538	Jun 8, 2011	Dec 13, 2012	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	System and method for seismic data inversion
US20120316790	Jun 8, 2011	Dec 13, 2012	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	System and method for data inversion with phase extrapolation
US20120316844	Jun 8, 2011	Dec 13, 2012	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	System and method for data inversion with phase unwrapping
US20130081752	Jun 1, 2011	Apr 4, 2013	Denki Kagaku Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha	Method of manufacturing translucent rigid substrate laminate
US20130238246	Jan 23, 2013	Sep 12, 2013	Jerome R. Krebs	Orthogonal Source and Receiver Encoding
US20130311149	Mar 22, 2013	Nov 21, 2013	Yaxun Tang	Tomographically Enhanced Full Wavefield Inversion
US20130311151	Sep 27, 2011	Nov 21, 2013	René-Edouard André Michel Plessix	Earth model estimation through an acoustic full waveform inversion of seismic data
CA2796631A1	Mar 14, 2011	Nov 24, 2011	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Method and system for checkpointing during simulations
EP1094338A1	Oct 22, 1999	Apr 25, 2001	Jason Geosystems B.V.	Method of estimating elastic parameters and rock composition of underground formations using seismic data
EP1746443A1	Oct 22, 1999	Jan 24, 2007	Jason Geosystems B.V.	Method of estimating elastic parameters and rock composition of underground formations using seismic data
GB2390712A				Title not available
GB2391665A				Title not available

Cited Patent	Filing date	Publication date	Applicant	Title
WO2006037815A1	Oct 10, 2005	Apr 13, 2006	Compagnie Generale De Geophysique	Improvement to seismic processing for the elimination of multiple reflections
WO2007046711A1	Oct 18, 2006	Apr 26, 2007	Sinvent As	Geological response data imaging with stream processors
WO2008042081A1	Sep 11, 2007	Apr 10, 2008	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Iterative inversion of data from simultaneous geophysical sources
WO2008123920A1	Mar 19, 2008	Oct 16, 2008	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Separation and noise removal for multiple vibratory source seismic data
WO2009067041A1	May 26, 2008	May 28, 2009	Steklov Mathematical Institute Ras	Method and system for evaluating the characteristic properties of two contacting media and of the interface between them based on mixed surface waves propagating along the interface
WO2009117174A1	Jan 26, 2009	Sep 24, 2009	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	An efficient method for inversion of geophysical data
WO2011040926A1	Oct 1, 2009	Apr 7, 2011	Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.	Apparatus and methods of locating downhole anomalies
WO2011091216A2	Jan 21, 2011	Jul 28, 2011	Schlumberger Canada Limited	Real-time formation anisotropy and dip evaluation using tri-axial induction measurements
WO2011093945A1	Dec 2, 2010	Aug 4, 2011	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Temporary field storage of gas to optimize field development
WO2012024025A1	Jun 27, 2011	Feb 23, 2012	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Reducing the dimensionality of the joint inversion problem
WO2012041834A1	Sep 27, 2011	Apr 5, 2012	Shell Internationale Research Maatschappij B.V.	Earth model estimation through an acoustic full waveform inversion of seismic data
WO2012083234A2	Dec 16, 2011	Jun 21, 2012	Bp Corporation North America Inc.	Seismic acquisition using narrowband seismic sources
WO2012134621A1	Jan 30, 2012	Oct 4, 2012	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Convergence rate of full wavefield inversion using spectral shaping
WO2012170201A2	May 23, 2012	Dec 13, 2012	Chevron U.S.A. Inc.	System and method for seismic data inversion by non-linear model update
WO2013081752A2	Oct 26, 2012	Jun 6, 2013	Exxonmobil Upstream Research Company	Methods for approximating hessian times vector operation in full wavefield inversion

<sup>\*</sup> Cited by examiner

## NON-PATENT CITATIONS

## References

veiei ei	ices
1	Abt, D.L. et al. (2010), "North American lithospheric discontinuity structured imaged by Ps and Sp receiver functions", J. Geophys. Res., 24 pgs.
2	Akerberg, P., et al. (2008), "Simultaneous source separation by sparse radon transform," 78th SEG Annual International Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 2801-2805.
3	Aki et al. (1980), "Quantitative Seismology, Theory and Methods," Chapter 5.20, W.H. Freeman & Co., pp. 133-155.
4	Aki, K. et al. (1980), "Quantitative Seismology: Theory and Methods vol. I," W.H. Freeman and Co., p. 173.
5	Aki, K. et al. (1980), "Quantitative Seismology: Theory and Methods vol. I-Chapter 7-Surface Waves in a Vertically Heterogenous Medium," W.H. Freeman and Co., pp. 259-318.
6	Aki, K. et al. (1980), "Quantitative Seismology: Theory and Methods vol. I—Chapter 7—Surface Waves in a Vertically Heterogenous Medium," W.H. Freeman and Co., pp. 259-318.
7	Amundsen, L. (2001), "Elimination of free-surface related multiples without need of the source wavelet," Geophysics 60(1), pp. 327-341.
8	Anderson, J.E. et al. (2008), "Sources Near the Free-Surface Boundary: Pitfalls for Elastic Finite-Difference Seismic Simulation and Multi-Grid Waveform Inversion," 70th EAGE Conf. & Exh., 4 pgs.
9	Barr, F.J. et al. (1989), "Attenuation of Water-Column Reverberations Using Pressure and Velocity Detectors in a Water-Bottom Cable," 59th Annual SEG meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 653-656.
10	Baumstein, A. et al. (2009), "Scaling of the Objective Function Gradient for Full Wavefield Inversion," SEG Houston 2009 Int'l. Expo and Annual Meeting, pp. 224-2247.
11	Beasley, C. (2008), "A new look at marine simultaneous sources," The Leading Edge 27(7), pp. 914-917.
12	Beasley, C. (2012), "A 3D simultaneous source field test processed using alternating projections: a new active separation method," Geophsyical Prospecting 60, pp. 591-601.
13	Beaty, K.S. et al. (2002), "Simulated annealing inversion of multimode Rayleigh wave dispersion waves for geological structure," Geophys. J. Int. 151, pp. 622-631.
14	Beaty, K.S. et al. (2003), "Repeatability of multimode Rayleigh-wave dispersion studies," Geophysics 68(3), pp. 782-790.
15	Becquey, M. et al. (2002), "Pseudo-Random Coded Simultaneous Vibroseismics," SEG Int'l. Exposition and 72th Annl. Mtg., 4 pgs.
16	Ben-Hadj-Ali, H. et al. (2009), "Three-dimensional frequency-domain full waveform inversion with phase encoding," SEG Expanded Abstracts, pp. 2288-2292.

17	Ben-Hadj-Ali, H. et al. (2011), "An efficient frequency-domain full waveform inversion method using simultaneous encoded sources," Geophysics 76(4), pp. R109-R124.
8	Benitez, D. et al. (2001), "The use of the Hilbert transform in ECG signal analysis," Computers in Biology and Medicine 31, pp. 399-406.
9	Berenger, J-P. (1994), "A Perfectly Matched Layer for the Absorption of Electromagnetic Waves," J. of Computational Physics 114, pp. 185-200.
)	Berkhout, A.J. (1987), "Applied Seismic Wave Theory," Elsevier Science Publishers, p. 142.
	Berkhout, A.J. (1992), "Areal shot record technology," Journal of Seismic Exploration 1, pp. 251-264.
2	Berkhout, A.J. (2008), "Changing the mindset in seismic data acquisition," The Leading Edge 27(7), pp. 924-938.
3	Beylkin, G. (1985), "Imaging of discontinuities in the inverse scattring problem by inversion of a causal generalized Radon transform," J. Math. Phys. 26, pp. 99-108.
ŀ	Biondi, B. (1992), "Velocity estimation by beam stack," Geophysics 57(8), pp. 1034-1047.
i	Bonomi, E. et al. (2006), "Wavefield Migration plus Monte Carlo Imaging of 3D Prestack Seismic Data," Geophysical Prospecting 54, pp. 505-514.
6	Boonyasiriwat, C. et al. (2010), 3D Multisource Full-Waveform using Dynamic Random Phase Encoding, SEG Denver 2010 Annual Meeting, pp. 1044-1049.
7	Boonyasiriwat, C. et al. (2010), 3D Multisource Full-Waveform using Dynamic Random Phase Encoding, SEG Denver 2010 Annual Meeting, pp. 3120-3124.
3	Bunks, C., et al. (1995), "Multiscale seismic waveform inversion," Geophysics 60, pp. 1457-1473.
)	Burstedde, G. et al. (2009), "Algorithmic strategies for full waveform inversion: 1D experiments," Geophysics 74(6), pp. WCC17-WCC46.
)	Chavent, G. et al. (1999), "An optimal true-amplitude least-squares prestack depth-migration operator," Geophysics 64(2), pp. 508-515.
1	Choi, Y et al. (2012), "Application of multi-source waveform inversion to marine stream data using the global correlation norm," Geophysical Prospecting 60, pp. 748-758.
2	Choi, Y. et al. (2011), "Application of encoded multisource waveform inversion to marine-streamer acquisition based on the global correlation," 73rd EAGE Conference, Abstract, pp. F026.
3	Clapp, R.G. (2009), "Reverse time migration with random boundaries," SEG International Exposition and Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 2809-2813.
1	Dai, W. et al. (2010), "3D Multi-source Least-squares Reverse Time Migration," SEG Denver 2010 Annual Meeting, pp. 3120-3124.
5	Delprat-Jannuad, F. et al. (2005), "A fundamental limitation for the reconstruction of impedance profiles from seismic data," Geophysics 70(1), pp. R1-R14.
6	Dickens, T.A. et al. (2011), RTM angle gathers using Poynting vectors, SEG Expanded Abstracts 30, pp. 3109-3113.
7	Donerici, B. et al. (1005), "Improved FDTD Subgridding Algorithms Via Digital Filtering and Domain Overriding," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation 53(9), pp. 2938-2951.
8	Downey, N. et al. (2011), "Random-Beam Full-Wavefield Inversion," 2011 San Antonio Annual Meeting, pp. 2423-2427.
)	Dunkin, J.W. et al. (1973), "Effect of Normal Moveout on a Seismic Pluse," Geophysics 38(4), pp. 635-642.
	Dziewonski A. et al. (1981), "Preliminary Reference Earth Model", Phys. Earth Planet. Int. 25(4), pp. 297-356.
	Ernst, F.E. et al. (2000), "Tomography of dispersive media," J. Acoust. Soc. Am 108(1), pp. 105-116.
!	Ernst, F.E. et al. (2002), "Removal of scattered guided waves from seismic data," Geophysics 67(4), pp. 1240-1248.
3	Esmersoy, C. (1990), "Inversion of P and SV waves from multicomponent offset vertical seismic profiles", Geophysics 55(1), pp. 39-50.
4	Etgen, J.T. et al. (2007), "Computational methods for large-scale 3D acoustic finite-difference modeling: A tutorial," Geophysics 72(5), pp. SM223-SM230.
5	Fallat, M.R. et al. (1999), "Geoacoustic inversion via local, global, and hybrid algorithms," Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 105, pp. 3219-3230.
6	Fichtner, A. et al. (2006), "The adjoint method in seismology I. Theory," Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 157, pp. 86-104.
7	Forbriger, T. (2003), "Inversion of shallow-seismic wavefields: I. Wavefield transformation," Geophys. J Int. 153, pp. 719-734.
3	Gao, H. et al. (2008), "Implementation of perfectly matched layers in an arbitrary geometrical boundary for leastic wave modeling," Geophysics J. Int. 174, pp. 1029-1036.
)	Gibson, B. et al. (1984), "Predictive deconvolution and the zero-phase source," Geophysics 49(4), pp. 379-397.
)	Godfrey, R. J. et al. (1998), "Imaging the Foiaven Ghost," SEG Expanded Abstracts, 4 pgs.
I	Griewank, A. (1992), "Achieving logarithmic growth of temporal and spatial complexity in reverse automatic differentiation," 1 Optimization Methods and Software, pp. 35-54.
2	Griewank, A. (2000), Evaluating Derivatives: Principles and Techniques of Algorithmic Differentiation, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 49 pgs.
3	Griewank, A. et al. (1996), "Algorithm 755: A package for the automatic differentiation of algorithms written in C/C++," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 22(2), pp. 131-167.
4	Griewank, A. et al. (2000), "Algorithm 799: An implementation of checkpointing for the reverse or adjoint mode of computational differentiation," 26 ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, pp. 19-45.
5	Haber, E. et al. (2010), "An effective method for parameter estimation with PDE constraints with multiple right hand sides," Preprint-UBC http://www.math.ubc.ca/~haber/pubs/PdeOptStochV5.pdf.
6	Haber, E. et al. (2010), "An effective method for parameter estimation with PDE constraints with multiple right hand sides," Preprint—UBC http://www.math.ubc.ca/~haber/pubs/PdeOptStochV5.pdf.

57	Hampson, D.P. et al. (2005), "Simultaneous inversion of pre-stack seismic data," SEG 75th Annual Int'l. Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 1633-1637.
8	Heinkenschloss, M. (2008), :"Numerical Solution of Implicity Constrained Optimization Problems," CAAM Technical Report TR08-05, 25 pgs.
9	Helbig, K. (1994), "Foundations of Anisotropy for Exploration Seismics," Chapter 5, pp. 185-194.
)	Herrmann, F.J. (2010), "Randomized dimensionality reduction for full-waveform inversion," EAGE abstract G001, EAGE Barcelona meeting, 5 pgs.
	Holschneider, J. et al. (2005), "Characterization of dispersive surface waves using continuous wavelet transforms," Geophys. J. Int. 163, pp. 463-478.
	Hu, L.Z. et al. (1987), "Wave-field transformations of vertical seismic profiles," Geophysics 52, pp. 307-321.
	Huang, Y. et al. (2012), "Multisource least-squares migration of marine streamer and land data with frequency-division encoding," Geophysical Prospecting 60, pp. 663-680.
	Igel, H. et al. (1996), "Waveform inversion of marine reflection seismograms for P impedance and Poisson's ratio," Geophys. J. Int. 124, pp. 363-371.
	Ikelle, L.T. (2007), "Coding and decoding: Seismic data modeling, acquisition, and processing," 77th Annual Int'l. Meeting, SEG Expanded Abstracts, pp. 66-70.
6	Jackson, D.R. et al. (1991), "Phase conjugation in underwater acoustics," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 89(1), pp. 171-181.
	Jing, X. et al. (2000), "Encoding multiple shot gathers in prestack migration," SEG International Exposition and 70th Annual Meeting Expanded Abstracts, pp. 786-789.
	Kennett, B.L.N. (1991), "The removal of free surface interactions from three-component seismograms", Geophys. J. Int. 104, pp. 153-163.
	Kennett, B.L.N. et al. (1988), "Subspace methods for large inverse problems with multiple parameter classes," Geophysical J. 94, pp. 237-247.
	Krebs, J.R. (2008), "Fast Full-wavefield seismic inversion using encoded sources," Geophysics 74(6), pp. WCC177-WCC188.
	Krohn, C.E. (1984), "Geophone ground coupling," Geophysics 49(6), pp. 722-731.
	Kroode, F.T. et al. (2009), "Wave Equation Based Model Building and Imaging in Complex Settings," OTC 20215, 2009 Offshore Technology Conf., Houston, TX, May 4-7, 2009, 8 pgs.
	Kulesh, M. et al. (2008), "Modeling of Wave Dispersion Using Continuous Wavelet Transforms II: Wavelet-based Frequency-velocity Analysis," Pure Applied Geophysics 165, pp. 255-270.
	Lancaster, S. et al. (2000), "Fast-track 'colored' inversion," 70th SEG Ann. Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 1572-1575.
	Lancaster, S. et al. (2000), "Fast-track 'colored' inversion," 70th SEG Ann. Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 1572-1575.
	Lazaratos, S. (2006), "Spectral Shaping Inversion for Elastic and Rock Property Estimation," Research Disclosure, Issue 511, pp. 1453-1459.
	Lazaratos, S. et al. (2009), "Inversion of Pre-migration Spectral Shaping," 2009 SEG Houston Int'l. Expo. & Ann. Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 2383-2387.
	Lazaratos, S. et al. (2011), "Improving the convergence rate of full wavefield inversion using spectral shaping," SEG Expanded Abstracts 30, pp 2428-2432.
	Lecomte, I. (2008), "Resolution and illumination analyses in PSDM: A ray-based approach," The Leading Edge, pp. 650-663.
	Lee, S. et al. (2010), "Subsurface parameter estimation in full wavefield inversion and reverse time migration," SEG Denver 2010 Annual Meeting, pp. 1065-1069.
	Levanon, N. (1988), "Radar Principles," Chpt. 1, John Whiley & Sons, New York, pp. 1-18.
	Liao, Q. et al. (1995), "2.5D full-wavefield viscoacoustic inversion," Geophysical Prospecting 43, pp. 1043-1059.
	Liu, F. et al. (2007), "Reverse-time migration using one-way wavefield imaging condition," SEG Expanded Abstracts 26, pp. 2170-2174.
	Liu, F. et al. (2011), "An effective imaging condition for reverse-time migration using wavefield decomposition," Geophysics 76, pp. S29-S39.
	Maharramov, M. et al. (2007), "Localized image-difference wave-equation tomography," SEG Annual Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 3009-3013.
	Malmedy, V. et al. (2009), "Approximating Hessians in unconstrained optimization arising from discretized problems," Computational Optimization and Applications, pp. 1-16.
	Marcinkovich, C. et al. (2003), "On the implementation of perfectly matched layers in a three-dimensional fourth-order velocity-stress finite difference scheme," J. of Geophysical Research 108(B5), 2276.
	Martin, G.S. et al. (2006), "Marmousi2: An elastic upgrade for Marmousi," The Leading Edge, pp. 156-166.
	Meier, M.A. et al. (2009), "Converted wave resolution," Geophysics, 74(2):doi:10.1190/1.3074303, pp. Q1-Q16.
	Moghaddam, P.P. et al. (2010), "Randomized full-waveform inversion: a dimenstionality-reduction approach," 80th SEG Ann. Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 977-982.
	Mora, P. (1987), "Elastic Wavefield Inversion," PhD Thesis, Stanford University, pp. 22-25.
	Mora, P. (1987), "Nonlinear two-dimensional elastic inversion of multi-offset seismic data," Geophysics 52, pp. 1211-1228.
	Mora, P. (1989), "Inversion = migration + tomography," Geophysics 64, pp. 888-901.
	Nazarian, S. et al. (1983), "Use of spectral analysis of surface waves method for determination of moduli and thickness of pavement systems," Transport Res. Record 930, pp. 38-45.
	Neelamani, R. (2009), "Efficient seismic forward modeling using simultaneous sources and sparsity," SEG Expanded Abstracts, pp. 2107-2111.
	Neelamani, R., (2008), "Simultaneous sourcing without compromise," 70th Annual Int'l. Conf. and Exh., EAGE, 5 pgs.
•	Nocedal, J. et al. (2000), "Numerical Optimization-Calculating Derivatives," Chapter 8, Springer Verlag, pp. 194-199.

99	Nocedal, J. et al. (2006), "Numerical Optimization, Chapt. 7-Large-Scale Unconstrained Optimization," Springer, New York, 2nd Edition, pp. 165-176.
100	Nocedal, J. et al. (2006), "Numerical Optimization, Chapt. 7—Large-Scale Unconstrained Optimization," Springer, New York, 2nd Edition, pp. 165-176.
101	Ostmo, S. et al. (2002), "Finite-difference iterative migration by linearized waveform inversion in the frequency domain," SEG Int'l. Expo. & 72nd Ann. Meeting, 4 pgs.
02	Park, C.B. et al. (1999), "Multichannel analysis of surface waves," Geophysics 64(3), pp. 800-808.
03	Park, C.B. et al. (2007), "Multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW)-active and passive methods," The Leading Edge, pp. 60-64.
04	Park, C.B. et al. (2007), "Multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW)—active and passive methods," The Leading Edge, pp. 60-64.
05	Pica, A. et al. (2005), "3D Surface-Related Multiple Modeling, Principles and Results," 2005 SEG Ann. Meeting, SEG Expanded Abstracts 24, pp. 2080-2083.
06	Plessix, R.E. et al. (2004), "Frequency-domain finite-difference amplitude preserving migration," Geophys. J. Int. 157, pp. 975-987.
07	Porter, R.P. (1989), "Generalized holography with application to inverse scattering and inverse source problems," In E. Wolf, editor, Progress in Optics XXVII, Elsevier, pp. 317-397.
08	Pratt, R.G. (1999), "Seismic waveform inversion in the frequency domain, Part 1: Theory and verification in a physical scale model," Geophysics 64, pp. 888-901.
09	Pratt, R.G. et al. (1998), "Gauss-Newton and full Newton methods in frequency-space seismic waveform inversion," Geophys. J. Int. 133, pp. 341-362.
10	Rawlinson, N. et al. (2008), "A dynamic objective function technique for generating multiple solution models in seismic tomography," Geophys. J. Int. 178, pp. 295-308.
11	Rayleigh, J.W.S. (1899), "On the transmission of light through an atmosphere containing small particles in suspension, and on the origin of the blue of the sky," Phil. Mag. 47, pp. 375-384.
12	Romero, L.A. et al. (2000), Phase encoding of shot records in prestack migration, Geophysics 65, pp. 426-436.
3	Ronen S. et al. (2005), "Imaging Downgoing waves from Ocean Bottom Stations," SEG Expanded Abstracts, pp. 963-967.
14	Routh, P. et al. (2011), "Encoded Simultaneous Source Full-Wavefield Inversion for Spectrally-Shaped Marine Streamer Data," SEG San Antonio 2011 Ann. Meeting, pp. 2433-2438.
5	Ryden, N. et al. (2006), "Fast simulated annealing inversion of surface waves on pavement using phase-velocity spectra," Geophysics 71(4), pp. R49-R58.
16	Sambridge, M.S. et al. (1991), "An Alternative Strategy for Non-Linear Inversion of Seismic Waveforms," Geophysical Prospecting 39, pp. 723-736.
7	Schoenberg, M. et al. (1989), "A calculus for finely layered anisotropic media," Geophysics 54, pp. 581-589.
8	Schuster, G.T. et al. (2010), "Theory of Multisource Crosstalk Reduction by Phase-Encoded Statics," SEG Denver 2010 Ann. Meeting, pp. 3110-3114.
9	Sears, T.J. et al. (2008), "Elastic full waveform inversion of multi-component OBC seismic data," Geophysical Prospecting 56, pp. 843-862.
20	Sheen, D-H. et al. (2006), "Time domain Gauss-Newton seismic waveform inversion in elastic media," Geophysics J. Int. 167, pp. 1373-1384.
21	Shen, P. et al. (2003), "Differential semblance velocity analysis by wave-equation migration," 73rd Ann. Meeting of Society of Exploration Geophysicists, 4 pgs.
22	Sheng, J. et al. (2006), "Early arrival waveform tomography on near-surface refraction data," Geophysics 71, pp. U47-U57.
23	Sheriff, R.E.et al. (1982), "Exploration Seismology", pp. 134-135.
24	Shih, R-C. et al. (1996), "Iterative pre-stack depth migration with velocity analysis," Terrestrial, Atmospheric & Oceanic Sciences 7(2), pp. 149-158.
25	Shin, C. et al. (2001), "Waveform inversion using a logarithmic wavefield," Geophysics 49, pp. 597-606.
26	Simard, P.Y. et al. (1990), "Vector Field Restoration by the Method of Convex Projections," Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing 52, pp. 360-385.
27	Sirgue, L. (2004), "Efficient waveform inversion and imaging: A strategy for selecting temporal frequencies," Geophysics 69, pp. 231-248.
28	Soubaras, R. et al. (2007), "Velocity model building by semblance maximization of modulated-shot gathers," Geophysics 72(5), pp. U67-U73.
29	Spitz, S. (2008), "Simultaneous source separation: a prediction-subtraction approach," 78th Annual Int'l. Meeting, SEG Expanded Abstracts, pp. 2811-2815.
30	Stefani, J. (2007), "Acquisition using simultaneous sources," 69th Annual Conf. and Exh., EAGE Extended Abstracts, 5 pgs.
31	Symes, W.W. (2007), "Reverse time migration with optimal checkpointing," Geophysics 72(5), pp. P.SM213-SM221.
32	Symes, W.W. (2009), "Interface error analysis for numerical wave propagation," Compu. Geosci. 13, pp. 363-371.
33	Tang, Y. (2008), "Wave-equation Hessian by phase encoding," SEG Expanded Abstracts 27, pp. 2201-2205.
34	Tang, Y. (2009), "Target-oriented wave-equation least-squares migration/inversion with phase-encoded Hessian," Geophysics 74, pp. WCA95-WCA107.
35	Tang, Y. et al. (2010), "Preconditioning full waveform inversion with phase-encoded Hessian," SEG Expanded Abstracts 29, pp. 1034-1037.
36	Tarantola, A. (1984), "Inversion of seismic reflection data in the acoustic approximation," Geophysics 49, pp. 1259-1266.
37	Tarantola, A. (1986), "A strategy for nonlinear elastic inversion of seismic reflection data," Geophysics 51(10), pp. 1893-1903.

40	Trantham, E.C. (1994), "Controlled-phase acquisition and processing," SEG Expanded Abstracts 13, pp. 890-894.		
41	Tsvankin, I. (2001), "Seismic Signatures and Analysis of Reflection Data in Anisotropic Media," Elsevier Science, p. 8.		
42	U.S. Appl. No. 14/272,020, filed May 7, 2014, Wang et al.		
43	U.S. Appl. No. 14/272,827, filed May 8, 2014, Baumstein et al.		
44	U.S. Appl. No. 14/286,107, filed May 23, 2014, Hu et al.		
45	U.S. Appl. No. 14/329,431, filed Jul. 11, 2014, Krohn et al.		
46	U.S. Appl. No. 14/330,767, filed Jul. 14, 2014, Tang et al.		
47	Valenciano, A.A. (2008), "Imaging by Wave-Equation Inversion," A Dissertation, Stanford University, 138 pgs.		
48	van Groenestijn, G.J.A. et al. (2009), "Estimating primaries by sparse inversion and application to near-offset reconstruction," Geophyhsics 74(3), pp. A23-A28.		
49	van Manen, D.J. (2005), "Making wave by time reversal," SEG International Exposition and 75th Annual Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 1763-1766.		
50	Verschuur, D.J. (1989), "Wavelet Estimation by Prestack Multiple Elimination," SEG Expanded Abstracts 8, pp. 1129-1132.		
51	Verschuur, D.J. (2009), Target-oriented, least-squares imaging of blended data, 79th Annual Int'l. Meeting, SEG Expanded Abstracts, pp. 2889-2893.		
52	Verschuur, D.J. et al. (1992), "Adaptive surface-related multiple elimination," Geophysics 57(9), pp. 1166-1177.		
53	Versteeg, R. (1994), "The Marmousi experience: Velocity model determination on a synthetic complex data set," The Leading Edge, pp. 927-936.		
54	Vigh, D. et al. (2008), "3D prestack plane-wave, full-waveform inversion," Geophysics 73(5), pp. VE135-VE144.		
55	Virieus, J. (1986), "P-SV wave propagation in heterogeneous media," Geophysics 51, pp. 889-901.		
56	Wang, K. et al. (2009), "Simultaneous full-waveform inversion for source wavelet and earth model," SEG Int'l. Expo. & Ann. Meeting, Expanded Abstracts, pp. 2537-2541.		
57	Wang, Y. (2007), "Multiple prediction through inversion: Theoretical advancements and real data application," Geophysics 72(2), pp. V33-V39.		
58	Weglein, A.B. (2003), "Inverse scattering series and seismic exploration," Inverse Problems 19, pp. R27-R83.		
59	Wong, M. et al. (2010), "Joint least-squares inversion of up- and down-going signal for ocean bottom data sets," SEG Expanded Abstracts 29, pp. 2752-2756.		
60	Wu R-S. et al. (2006), "Directional illumination analysis using beamlet decomposition and propagation," Geophysics 71(4), pp. S147-S159.		
61	Xia, J. et al. (2004), "Utilization of high-frequency Rayleigh waves in near-surface geophysics," The Leading Edge, pp. 753-759.		
62	Xie, X. et al. (2002), "Extracting angle domain information from migrated wavefield," SEG Expanded Abstracts21, pp. 1360-1363.		
63	Xie, XB. et al. (2006), "Wave-equation-based seismic illumination analysis," Geophysics 71(5), pp. S169-S177.		
64	Yang, K. et al. (2000), "Quasi-Orthogonal Sequences for Code-Division Multiple-Access Systems," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 46(3), pp. 982-993.		
65	Yoon, K. et al. (2004), "Challenges in reverse-time migration," SEG Expanded Abstracts 23, pp. 1057-1060.		
66	Young, J. et al. (2011), "An application of random projection to parameter estimation in partial differential equations," SIAM, 20 pgs.		
67	Zhang, Y. (2005), "Delayed-shot 3D depth migration," Geophysics 70, pp. E21-E28.		
68	Ziolkowski, A. (1991), "Why don't we measure seismic signatures?," Geophysics 56(2), pp. 190-201.		

## CLASSIFICATIONS

International Classification	G01V1/30
Cooperative Classification	G01V2210/1423, G01V1/303